

**SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
For the Fiscal Year Ended
June 30, 2017

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
TABLE OF CONTENTS
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	2
Note to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	4
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs	6
Summary of Prior Fiscal Year Audit Findings and Questioned Costs	8



Moss, Levy & Hartzheim LLP

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors
Santa Barbara County Association of Governments
Santa Barbara, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (the Association), as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Association’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 5, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Association’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Association’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Association’s internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Association’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Moss, Levy & Hartzheim LLP

Santa Maria, California
December 5, 2017

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

<u>Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title</u>	<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Program Identification Number</u>	<u>Federal Expenditures</u>
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u>			
Passed-Through State of California Department of Transportation:			
FHWA Metropolitan Planning (PL) Funds *	20.205	CA-81-X003, Amendment 14	\$ 828,593
FHWA SPR PP Funds	20.205	CA-81-X003, Amendment 14	26,125
FTA 49 USC Chapter 53 Section 5303 Funds	20.505	CA-81-X003, Amendment 14	176,040
FTA 49 USC Chapter 53 Section 5304 Funds	20.505	CA-81-X003, Amendment 14	69,184
Cabrillo Underpass/Bike & Pedestrian Improvements	20.505	HSIPL-6090(059)	<u>253,669</u>
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			<u>1,353,611</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$ 1,353,611</u>

* Denotes a major federal award program.

See accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
NOTE TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements. The Association has not elected to use the ten percent de minimus cost rate as covered in Section 200.414 Indirect (F&A) costs of the Uniform Guidance.



Moss, Levy & Hartzheim LLP

Certified Public Accountants

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

Board of Directors
Santa Barbara County Association of Governments
Santa Barbara, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Santa Barbara County Association of Governments' (the Association) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Association's major federal programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. The Association's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Association's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Association's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Association's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Santa Barbara County Association of Governments, complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Santa Barbara County Association of Governments is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Association's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Association's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Santa Barbara County Association of Governments, as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments' basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon December 5, 2017, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Moss, Remy & Hartgen LLP

Santa Maria, California
December 5, 2017

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
 For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Section I – Summary of Auditors’ Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditors’ report issued Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:
 Material weaknesses identified? _____ Yes X No

Significant deficiencies identified not considered
 to be material weaknesses? _____ Yes X None reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? _____ Yes X No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:
 Material weaknesses identified? _____ Yes X No

Significant deficiencies identified not considered
 to be material weaknesses? _____ Yes X None reported

Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major
 programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be
 reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance _____ Yes X No

Identification of major programs:

<u>CFDA Number(s)</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u>
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction Cluster

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A
 and Type B programs: \$ 750,000

Auditee qualify as low-risk auditee: X Yes _____ No

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

There were no audit findings or questioned costs.

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
SUMMARY OF PRIOR FISCAL YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

There were no prior fiscal year audit findings or questioned costs.