

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

Thursday, May 18, 2006

**Board of Supervisors Hearing Room
511 East Lakeside Parkway
Santa Maria, CA**

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

Members Present: Salud Carbajal, Supervisor, First District; Susan Rose, Supervisor, Second District; Brooks Firestone, Supervisor, Third District; Joni Gray, Supervisor, Fourth District; Supervisor; Joe Centeno (SBCAG Chair), Fifth District; Russ Hicks, Councilmember, City of Buellton; Donna Jordan, Councilmember, City of Carpinteria; Jonny Wallis (SBCAG Vice-Chair), Mayor, City of Goleta; Lupe Alvarez, Mayor, City of Guadalupe; Dick DeWees, Mayor, City of Lompoc; Marty Mariscal, Councilmember, City of Santa Maria; Ed Skytt, Councilmember, City of Solvang; Cheryl Willis, Caltrans District 5 Representative

Members Absent: Marty Blum, Mayor, City of Santa Barbara

Staff Members Present: Jim Kemp, Executive Director; Stephen VanDenburgh, Deputy Director of Programs; Michael Powers, Deputy Director of Planning; Kent Epperson, TDM Program Administrator; Robert Perkins, Administrative Services Officer; Gregg Hart, Public Information/Government Affairs Coordinator; Sarkes Khachek, Transportation Planner; Martha Gibbs, Finance Officer; Bill Yim, Transportation Planner; Jonathan Whitt, TDM Marketing Coordinator; Cathy Muneio, Board Clerk; Kevin E. Ready, Sr., Senior Deputy County Counsel

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair Centeno called for the pledge of allegiance.

3. RECESS TO CLOSED SESSION

None.

4. RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION AND REPORT FROM CLOSED SESSION

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Supervisor Firestone moved to approve the minutes of April 20, 2006. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Skytt and carried with Mayor Alvarez abstaining.

6. APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR

Supervisor Gray said she had a question that was relative to both Item A (Project Study Report Request) and Item B (Federal Transportation Improvement Program). She asked if the funds allocated to Goleta for the over-crossing project could be contingent upon the passage of the Measure D renewal.

Mr. Kemp said that those funds were contingent upon the passing of the Measure D funds and that all funds would be removed from the project if Measure D did not pass. He said that the

letter to Caltrans will be a request to prepare a Project Study Report for the Goleta Overpass Improvement project and is contingent upon voter approval of the renewal ballot measure at the November 2006 election.

Councilmember Wallis said she agreed with that.

Supervisor Carbajal moved to approve the consent calendar. Mayor Alvarez seconded the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

7. PUBLIC COMMENT

Chair Centeno asked for public comment and there was none.

8. AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN – PROJECT REVIEW – CABRILLO BUSINESS PARK

Mr. Powers explained that as the Airport Lane Use Commission (ALUC) SBCAG reviews projects within the vicinity of airports to insure that projects are planned and zoned for uses compatible with airport operations. The Cabrillo Business Park project was submitted by the city of Goleta. SBCAG has 60 days to review the project after its submitted and make a determination and report back to the City of Goleta. Goleta can either accept the determination or override it based on findings and fact. Mr. Powers turned the presentation over to Mr. Yim

Mr. Yim described the proposed project. He summarized the two options for that element of the project that staff found inconsistent with the SBCAG Airport Land Use Plan. The first option and staff recommendation was to make a finding that the residential unit in the clear zone was inconsistent with the ALUP. The second option would be to make a finding that a “security office”, as a part of the proposed storage facility (Building #3), be conditioned to a total size of 1,600 s.f. with less than 2 people.

Councilmember Hicks asked for clarification on the wording “security office” and “residential unit”.

Mr. Yim said that a residential unit is where a person lives and a security office provides shelter and office space but is not a full time residence. The security office would be consistent with the ALUP and a residence would not.

Councilmember Mariscal asked how they would limit the storage of hazardous material.

Mr. Powers said that the City of Goleta would establish a permit condition and restriction and enforce it through the operator of the facility.

Councilmember Mariscal asked how it would be determined what an acceptable level of hazardous materials would be.

Mr. Powers said this would be determined by the fire department.

Mayor Wallis said that Goleta had not reviewed this but that the city would condition and monitor this process.

Chair Centeno opened this item up for public comment.

Peter Brown, representing the Saris-Regis Group, said that the caretaker unit was a security issue. He said the managers would have keys and would be able to inspect all units on a 24 hour basis and a restriction would be placed on the amount of hazardous materials. He said the project has been in the planning stage for about eight years and that they were aware of the airport conditions. Mr. Brown said the applicant began meeting with airport staff in 2001 to work to comply with airport issues and an easement was recorded on another property in order to comply with airport safety restrictions. He said as part of the process the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) reviewed the easement. Mr. Brown said he agreed with the bulk of the staff report except for the caretaker unit due to staff's position that it is considered a residential unit. He disagreed that no person should be able to live in the security office on a 24 hour basis. He explained that all modern storage units have an on site resident and explained that the unit would be shielded to allow for the most possible protection from a safety standpoint. He said they were willing to limit the unit to two persons. He said he believed the board should adopt the findings and determine the project is consistent with the ALUP with the request they amend the findings to allow a manager's unit, limited to 1600 square feet and limited to two persons. He said that their development team was in the audience to answer any questions.

Supervisor Gray said she had great confidence in Mr. Brown's opinion and direction but did not understand why he was willing to go to the mat to have someone live at the storage facility. She said it would seem to her that the same thing could be accomplished by having 24 hour security people on the site and a manager who knew the property but did not live there.

Mr. Brown said the whole issue was security and under staff's recommendation there would be two managers during the day and security guards at night. Mr. Brown said they would like to have an on site manager 24 hours a day that lives and manages the property and stated that most self storage companies handle security the same way.

Mayor DeWees said he was not clear he understood why they would want to risk approval of the project and asked why the manager could not be located to another location in the park.

Mr. Brown said the rest of the site was for research and development and he was not sure they could place a residential unit and effectively monitor the self storage units.

Counsel Ready said the avigation easement in the board packet states that a residential use is prohibitive except as provided by the manager's unit and that they admit that a manager's unit is considered a residential unit.

Councilmember Hicks asked how this project was approved by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) if the FAA looks to the ALUC to determine consistency.

Mr. Powers said the FAA has not been the most helpful agency in dealing with airport land use issues and noted the policy was established through public input.

Supervisor Carbajal said this must be related to safety and asked if there was ever a project such as this approved despite the inconsistency in the staff report.

Mr. Brown said he was unaware of another incident such as this one.

Mr. Powers said that there were other ranges of uses in clear zones and the ALUP allows for storage uses in the clear zones, but not residences.

Supervisor Centeno asked what difference it made what the residence was called.

Mr. Brown responded that staff's recommendation was to allow for a security building but not allow anyone to live at the building.

Jerry Chavkin with Saris-Regis said he used to be an aviation consultant and the FAA would have approved the project because they would be looking at whether it was a density issue. He said they put residence in the same context as high density and the two people living in the residence would be considered low density. He said the main thing to consider is that this residence will attract a higher caliber manager and there would be close circuit televisions as well as alarms.

Supervisor Carbajal said he would like to know how live-in security would be better than security guards walking around.

Mr. Chavkin said someone would always be responsible if something was going on.

Councilmember Mariscal asked if there were live in managers at the storage facilities in Torrance where Mr. Chavkin used to live. He said as far as he knows there are no storage facilities in the clear zone.

Mr. Chavkin concurred.

Councilmember Mariscal said that this was a procedural issue for him and said he would like for the City of Goleta to be able to review this and make a determination as part of the land use planning.

Laurie Owens with the Santa Barbara Airport said the airport was not supportive of residential units in the clear zone but in this situation they came to the conclusion that a caretaker unit might be acceptable for this use and the population would be the same whether there was someone on site 24 hours per day or hired security. She said that they often allow accessory use in an industrial zone and that the self-storage facility could be an accessory and could be configured as a one-bedroom unit. She said the easement allows it to be there so it is consistent.

Councilmember Hicks asked what the unit was going to be used for and what the rest of the building would be used for.

Mr. Yim said it would be used for storage.

Russ Goodman, Regional President for Saris Regis Group, said that they don't look at this as renting a residential unit because it is going to be a security unit and as part of that compensation to an employee they would receive better services from them. He said this was purely a functional unit.

Supervisor Centeno asked if this was a fiscal issue or a security issue.

Mr. Goodman said this was a combination of both. He said this was the best way to get good security.

Councilmember Skytt said that they would know everyone who came into the storage facility and would probably be more aware when something is wrong.

Mr. Goodman said that this was a good assumption.

Mayor Wallis said many businesses survive without someone being there 24 hours a day. She said she would like to see a residential unit but didn't think that this was the consideration before the board and staff was alerting the board that residences are not allowed in clear zones. She said that the easement does not override federal law and asked if the security unit was a way to provide heightened security for the project and therefore was looked at differently than a residential unit. She said following staff's recommendation would be the only way to find this project consistent.

Mayor DeWees said that it was a residential unit and was inconsistent with the type of use allowed.

Councilmember Jordan said that on a broader issue this research and development project would most likely bring in new jobs to the community and create additional housing and traffic issues, and said there may be some opportunities for change to the project since the city of Goleta will be reviewing the project. She recommended that they move the residential unit elsewhere on the property and keep the area in the clear zone free of any development.

Supervisor Firestone made a motion to adopt staff's recommendations with the proposed amendment that they allow a caretaker residence. The motion was seconded by Mayor Alvarez.

The motion failed with Firestone, Alvarez, and Skytt in favor and the remaining members opposed.

Supervisor Carbajal moved that the proposed Cabrillo Business Park be found consistent with the Airport Land Use Plan subject to Option 2 in the staff report which provides for a security office and the various conditions itemized in the staff report. The motion was seconded by Supervisor Firestone and carried with Councilmember Jordan opposed.

9. UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS

Mr. Khachek presented the staff report.

Supervisor Carbajal asked what the trend in the county was for the fare box recovery and asked if there was a phase-in allowed. He asked how the Breeze service was doing.

Mr. Sarkes responded that a phase-in was permitted.

Supervisor Centeno asked how staff projected ridership for a new start-up service.

Mr. Khachek responded it was based on an analysis of the number of requests received for those services.

Mr. Powers added that Los Alamos was an example of the challenges of providing transit services to rural areas as the current service was struggling to reach the required fare box ratio. Mr. Powers stated that the County of Santa Barbara could independently consider providing funding for connecting the smaller communities with special transit services such as vanpools.

Supervisor Carbajal said he did not have much confidence in the process staff used to determine the need for new transit service and asked if staff had looked at census data. He said that he had looked at the data in Santa Maria that showed a huge number of households with only one vehicle.

Mr. Powers said that staff did consider census information.

Supervisor Carbajal stated that it had come to his attention as a member of the First Five Commission that a north county non-profit social services provider found the only way they could meet the needs of their clients was to seek funding for transit services.

Mr. Kemp said that providing new transit services is often a challenge. He said that new service requests undergo peer review and census information is considered but that new services cannot jeopardize existing fare box recovery ratios. He said that transit providers such as the County can provide or expand their services when they feel it is warranted and a finding to provide that service does not need to be made by SBCAG.

Mayor DeWees commented that according to the census information he had seen residents of the City of Santa Barbara had the highest percentage of no vehicles in the county.

Mr. Chytilo stated the new transit services being proposed and the increased percentage of TDA funds that Santa Maria and Lompoc were dedicating for transit was an improvement. He said that SBCAG takes a passive role by waiting to see what is said during the Transit Needs Assessment public testimony and then bases the need on the testimony received. He said criteria 4 and 6 were a series of excuses to use funds for streets and roads and said they should be implementing transportation services that will meet the needs of the community. The North County Transit Plan will play a key role in the evaluation of the transit system.

Mayor DeWees asked what data Mr. Chytilo was basing his conclusions on.

Mr. Chytilo said that SBCAG failed to do a proactive analysis and that service requests were only gathered through the unmet transit needs process. He said that a comprehensive evaluation of ridership patterns, jobs and number of households would provide an idea on the need for expanded transit service.

Alex Pujo with Coast stated that the percentage of vehicles with only one household shows the evolution of LTF funds. He congratulated COLT and said that the County needs to take a more active role in defining needs.

Larry Bean, Public Works Director for the City of Lompoc said that their transit system is continually being expanded. During the last couple of years they have taken over the Medical Bus Service and opened it up to other riders. The service increased from 2 to 18 passengers per day. He said that they have opened up operating hours, have buses going to Allan Hancock College and are spending more funding than ever on transit. He disputed some of the SBCAG staff numbers regarding COLT ridership and said that their own internal calculation showed that there was a growth rate of 15 percent per year from 1998. From 2003-2005 ridership went from 203,000 to 286,000 riders.

Supervisor Rose asked how growth in ridership related to growth in population.

Mayor DeWees stated that population went down in Lompoc.

Supervisor Rose said that possibly there were unmet needs being met.

Chair Centeno opened up the meeting for public comment.

Jesus Estrada asked about the status of the vanpool program for farmworkers. He said it was supposed to begin in March but had not.

Mr. Demery, Public Works Director for Santa Barbara County, said the County was very close to completing an agreement with a provider but the legal staff review had delayed it. He said this would then need to go to the Board of Supervisors for approval.

Mr. Ready said that in January of this year he felt obligated to ask specific legal and insurance questions of Enterprise Rental Car regarding their offer to provide farmworker vanpool service. He said he had just received the final okay to move ahead.

Matt Dobberteen said he had spoken with a representative from Enterprise several days ago and they informed him that they had nearly completed their legal review but overall were satisfied that they were going to be able to be part of this program. He said they still needed to translate information into Spanish and complete the final details of the program.

Mr. Whitt translated in Spanish what Mr. Dobberteen said to Mr. Estrada.

Rick Sweet, City of Santa Maria Public Works Director said that SMAT was making ongoing improvements but were struggling to meet the 20 percent fare box recovery ratio.

Joe Rye, SMAT transit services manager said that transit service expansion in 2006 was significant in Santa Maria. He reported that Route 3 increased and now served Pioneer Valley High School, doubled Route 7, increased Route 1 to 30 minutes from McCoy north, created a new Route 24 and increased a new Route 8 to 30 minutes. Overall there was a 40 percent increase in ridership of which 70 percent was students. Mr. Rye reported that the Breeze service was one year old and had a 13 percent fare box recovery ratio with about 170 riders per day.

Counsel Ready reported that Mr. Chytilo and staff had worked closely together since the service was directly related to litigation. Mr. Ready said that Mr. Chytilo's logic did not work and said staff was not being passive on finding out what the unmet needs were based on the significant number of comments. He said that staff has tried to bring the evidence before the board but that it is not up to SBCAG to fill all transportation needs. Mr. Ready said it was SBCAG's job to identify unmet transit needs as reasonable or unreasonable to meet and it's up to the agencies to decide whether to use their TDA funds or not.

Chair Centeno advised the board that the APCD meeting would begin at 1:30 p.m.

Councilmember Mariscal asked to speak about the North County Transit Plan. He said he would like to see data relative to rural areas, the issue of the fare box recovery ratio and how to effectively put more transit on the road. He said he thought the process would develop from the ground up with the driving force being the North County Subregional Planning Committee and used as a visioning process to allow for more creativity.

Supervisor Centeno said that the North County Transit Plan can be anything they want it to be. He suggested asking the riders if fuel prices decreased would they still be taking transit.

Mayor Wallis asked how much rising fuel costs were taken into consideration.

Mr. Powers said that the analysis was made prior to the rising costs of fuel and explained that transit agencies have fuel increases also and then need to readjust fares to meet their fare box ratio. He deferred fuel cost increase questions to the public works directors since they might have a better handle on this.

Supervisor Gray moved to receive the report and noted this was the finest Transit Needs Assessment report she had seen. Mayor DeWees seconded the motion and the motion carried 11-1 with Supervisor Carbajal opposed.

10. MEASURE D RENEWAL

Mr. Kemp presented the staff report. He said he was seeking board comments on the ordinance. He explained that the existing Measure D would remain in place until the expiration in 2010 at which time the new tax would take effect. He said that the Board could bond against future revenues that would allow expediting of some projects. Mr. Kemp explained that portions of the wording in the ordinance came from the State Board of Equalization and will be adopted as part of the ordinance. Mr. Kemp referred to the Grand Jury Report noting that it said all projects had been completed from the original Measure D with the exception of the Hwy 101 widening in Santa Barbara. It stated that the widening did not occur due to public concerns on the impacts of the widening project. Mr. Kemp stated that the 101 in Motion projects were listed in the new expenditure plan. The Grand Jury report did state that funds from the original Measure D were being used for the Hwy 101 operational improvements which were necessary and part of the 101 widening project. Mr. Kemp explained that another key finding was that the 70 percent allocated to the cities have resulted in improved roads. Mr. Kemp explained that the Grand Jury made a finding that SBCAG had not been doing a good job of keeping the public informed on the benefits of Measure D and that more information needed to go out to the public.

Supervisor Centeno said he preferred to concentrate on the public information program.

Mr. Kemp said that the Grand Jury requires a response by the Board and one of the recommendations was that SBCAG provide a public information program. The program will provide factual information on what the renewal measure will accomplish in order to allow the public to make informed decisions when voting on Measure D renewal. The program is not intended to sell the measure by advocating a support position. The proposed public information program will include the development of a brochure sent to registered voters in Santa Barbara County and a newspaper insert to reach those that are not registered to vote. He said that they would be developing a PowerPoint presentation to speak to groups to make sure that everyone stays within the appropriate discussion. Mr. Kemp said that it is estimated that this public information program would cost between \$90,000-145,000, which is considerably less than what was before the board in April. Mr. Kemp said that Counsel Stark had developed a memorandum to provide some guidelines to the board and staff over the next several months. He said that staff was making arrangements with County Counsel to hold a workshop on either May 31 or June 8 that will provide guidelines on the use of public agency resources for the renewal measure.

Supervisor Centeno said he would like the board to be a little more involved and asked Councilmember Mariscal if he would work with Counsel Stark to review everything that goes out to the public.

Councilmember Mariscal said he would and said the public should have the perception that we are not using funds that are not appropriate.

Shane Stark said he was happy to assist SBCAG in any way he could to ensure that all brochures, etc. comply with what is appropriate. He said he would like the board and staff to be extra conservative.

Supervisor Firestone said that he did not think a government agency should advocate for itself.

Councilmember Jordan said the keyword was advocate. She said that as a voter if a very important decision was in front of her she would want to understand it and she felt it was the board's responsibility as the agency that was putting the measure forward to explain it.

Supervisor Centeno said he did not have a problem with getting the information out to the public but with who should do it.

Supervisor Carbajal said this was a tough one. He said that Councilmember Mariscal and County Counsel will be working to ensure that we are very careful with the information that is put before the voters. He indicated that he could support a public information program using the expenditure cap of \$145,000.

Chair Centeno asked Supervisor Gray if she could provide a report on what the reauthorization committee is doing.

Supervisor Gray asked counsel if she was permitted to discuss this.

Counsel Ready said she could provide information without advocating for Measure D.

Supervisor Gray explained that since the Expenditure Plan was passed she had been meeting after hours with the Measure D Reauthorization Committee that consisted of a public group of people to raise funds and to advocate for the passage of Measure D. She listed the members on the committee.

Mr. Kemp explained that the committee mentioned above was a private sector campaign role to get Measure D renewed that had an entirely different purpose than the public information program that SBCAG will be doing. SBCAG's job is not to advocate but to provide factual information.

Councilmember Skytt said he supported the funding for a public information program with a cap of \$145,000.

Mayor DeWees said that as a government agency there is an obligation to provide the basic information regarding Measure D in an objective way and the private sector will use a persuasive approach.

Mayor Alvarez said he agreed with Supervisor Firestone that we have a duty to provide this information.

Supervisor Gray moved to approve the public information program to inform the voters about Measure D with a cap of \$145,000. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Jordan and carried with Supervisor Firestone abstaining.

Councilmember Jordan asked if Board members could e-mail comments regarding the Measure D Ordinance directly to staff.

Counsel Ready said that he had already received comments from people and asked the board to send them to Mr. Kemp and himself to be incorporated into a highlighted document that would be coming back to the board in June.

Sherrie Fisher, General Manager of MTD, requested a small change to the current language being proposed for the ballot language. Her proposed changes are shown on a fax she submitted to SBCAG.

Eva Inbar said she supported public funds to be used for educating the public.

Supervisor Centeno asked the board to review the ordinance and provide comments back to staff.

Supervisor Carbajal said he would not be able to make the workshop on June 8th.

Mr. Kemp said staff would develop a response to the grand jury for consideration by the board at the June meeting.

Supervisor Carbajal moved to hold a workshop in May or June for board members, other local elected officials and staff on permitted uses of public agency resources for the ballot measure process. The motion was seconded by Mayor Alvarez and carried with Supervisor Firestone abstaining.

Mr. Kemp said he would poll the board for the best date to schedule the workshop.

11. SBCAG FY 2006-07 BUDGET

Supervisor Gray said she was concerned about the 4 percent cost of living increase that was prepared and recommended by staff without any analysis. She suggested that this go to the Santa Barbara County Human Resources Director for review.

Mr. Kemp said that staff had looked at last year's Consumer Price Index which was slightly higher than what they were requesting. He said they had also looked at what other agencies in the area were proposing for COLA's and that the amount proposed for SBCAG is below the average for the agencies for the past two years.

Supervisor Gray said she thought it would be worthwhile to have the Human Resources Director look at this.

Supervisor Centeno said he had concerns about the process and that they need to determine what the process is.

Mr. Kemp said one of the problems is that SBCAG is not unionized and do not have a collective bargaining agreement that establishes a process for cost of living adjustments.

Supervisor Centeno said it should be the Board that sets the parameters for what is needed to be bargained for.

Supervisor Gray moved to have the County Human Resource Department review the recommendation as prepared by SBCAG and make a recommendation on the salaries for next year. Councilmember Marsical seconded the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

Chair Centeno asked if Supervisor Carbajal would be interested in meeting with the county Human Resources person and Mr. Kemp

Mr. Kemp mentioned that the salary was only a small part of the budget.

Supervisor Carbajal asked if there were any consequence to waiting until next month.

Mr. Kemp said that the budget needed to be adopted at the June meeting and if there were any issues that the board has should be provided to staff this month.

Chair Centeno asked the board to provide any comments to staff and bring that back to the board in June.

12. 2006 STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Mr. VanDenburgh presented the staff report.

Mr. VanDenburgh said that the Santa Maria Six-Lane project bid opening was on May 16 and that it was the second time it had been put out to bid. The first time only one bid was received that had some irregularities. The second time around Caltrans received three bids, Diablo Construction for \$29.9 million, Granite Construction for 29.95 million and Union Asphalt for 31 million. The lowest bid was \$900,000 over the engineer's estimate. To prevent further delays in awarding the contract, he recommended that a letter be sent to Caltrans and the California Transportation Commission executive director supporting a STIP allocation increase to cover the cost increase over the engineer's estimate and reflect the allocation increase in future County STIP shares.

Ms. Willis from Caltrans said that the bid is being analyzed. She said there is a 3 percent overage and this will need to go back to the CTC to be resolved.

Councilmember Mariscal asked for clarification if the SBCAG board decides to utilize \$900,000 of future funding for the overage.

Ms. Willis said it would go through a supplemental funding process in which the Executive Director of the CTC has some authority to decide to award supplemental funds and would not need to go back to the CTC for approval. She said the CTC meets in June and July but won't be meeting in August; the supplemental funds request would have to go on the July CTC agenda if delegated authority weren't used by the CTC Executive Director.

Chair Centeno asked if the board needed to do anything at this moment.

Mr. Kemp responded that if we were going to seek approval from the Executive Director he would want some sort of letter of concurrence from SBCAG to use the overage. He said they could wait until the June meeting to get a letter to the CTC but might delay the award of

contract, or he said the board could add an ex-agenda item allowing the board to act on this as an urgency issue.

Councilmember Mariscal moved to add an ex-agenda item based on the item coming to the board subsequent to the agenda being posted and in order not to delay the bid. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Hicks. The motion carried unanimously.

Councilmember Mariscal moved that a letter be sent to the CTC by the Executive Director authorizing the allocation of STIP money from the 2008 STIP county share. The motion was seconded by Mayor Alvarez and carried unanimously.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

None

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Kemp reported on the state infrastructure bond propositions. He said that the state bond will appear on the ballot at the same time as the renewal of Measure D. He said that both measures will need to be passed so that the state can provide the matching funds for completion of Measure D projects.

Mr. Kemp said that the governor and legislature previously diverted Prop. 42 funds for other than transportation projects, but is now proposing to use them for transportation in the 06/07 budget. He said Prop 1A would restrict the use of Prop 42 funds to transportation projects and said he would provide a more detailed explanation in the next board packet.

CALTRANS DISTRICT DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Krumholz said that the report was included in the board packet. He added that the Castillo Street underpass work would begin Monday and the ramps would be open by May 26th. He also mentioned that there was a town hall meeting set for Monday, May 22 at the SBCC forum room on Cliff Drive with the Cold Springs Bridge Suicide Committee.

COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Kemp said that in order to get more people riding bicycles that the Team Challenge event would begin next month and said more information is on the Traffic Solutions website.

ADJOURN

The board meeting adjourned at 12:38 p.m.