



MINUTES

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

Thursday, August 18, 2005

Board of Supervisors Hearing Room
105 East Anapamu Street
Santa Barbara, CA

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

Members Present: Salud Carbajal, Supervisor, First District; Susan Rose, Supervisor, Second District; Brooks Firestone, Supervisor, Third District (arrived at 11:15 a.m.); Joni Gray, Supervisor, Fourth District; Joe Centeno (SBCAG Vice-Chair), Supervisor, Fifth District; Donna Jordan, Councilmember, City of Carpinteria; Jonny Wallis, Councilmember, City of Goleta; Lupe Alvarez, Mayor, City of Guadalupe; Dick DeWees (SBCAG Chair), Mayor, City of Lompoc; Dan Secord, Councilmember, City of Santa Barbara; Marty Mariscal, Councilmember, City of Santa Maria; Brian Baca, Councilmember, City of Solvang, Rich Krumholz, Caltrans District 5 Representative.

Members Absent: Russ Hicks, Mayor, City of Buellton.

Staff Members Present: Jim Kemp, Executive Director; Michael Powers, Deputy Director of Planning; Kent Epperson, TDM Program Administrator; Gregg Hart, Public Information/Government Affairs Coordinator; John Asuncion, Transportation Planner; Cathy Muneio, Board Clerk; Kevin E. Ready, Sr., Senior Deputy County Counsel.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chair DeWees called for the pledge of allegiance.

3. RECESS TO CLOSED SESSION

The Board recessed to closed session at 8:36 a.m. to discuss with legal counsel pending litigation with Pierce, Estrada and Our Children's Earth Foundation and pending litigation with Santa Barbara Airbus.

4. CONVENE IN OPEN SESSION

When the board reconvened in open session at 9:18 a.m., County Counsel, Kevin Ready reported that the SBCAG Board had directed settlement of the Santa Barbara Airbus case and that the terms of the settlement would be made available through County Counsel. He reported that no action was taken on the pending litigation with Pierce, Estrada and Our Children's Earth Foundation.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: July 21, 2005

Supervisor Centeno moved approval of the Minutes of July 21, 2005 as presented. The motion was seconded by Mayor Alvarez, and carried with Councilmember Mariscal abstaining.

6. PUBLIC COMMENT

Joni Kelly, marketing coordinator with the Glendon Association, said that she was concerned about the number of suicides that had occurred at the Cold Springs Bridge. She explained that there had been 31 deaths since 1980. Ms. Kelly said that she had discussed this with the CHP, Caltrans and Sheriff's Department, and that all of these agencies were in support of a project to install barriers and a call box on the Cold Springs Bridge.

Gregory Grandrud representing Fix101.org said he was supportive of the request from Joni Kelly to place barriers on the Cold Springs Bridge.

Mr. Grandrud said he had researched toll lanes and that over a period of twenty years it might be possible to net over \$134,000,000 according to a Santa Cruz study. Mr. Grandrud said he had discussed this with Wilbur Smith Consultants and that they estimated it would cost \$20,000,000 to install the toll lane and \$1,000,000 per year to operate it. He recommended that the board look into that type of approach a little more closely. Mr. Grandrud said commuter rail could cost between \$.80 and \$1.60 per passenger mile. He asked why the Board would want to spend \$1.60 per passenger mile when it costs between 4 and 16 times the cost of encouraging car pooling.

Supervisor Carbajal asked Mr. Grandrud if he had conveyed his figures to the 101 in Motion consultants and encouraged him to participate in that process.

Mr. Grandrud agreed and said he had provided his methodology to Mr. Bramen and suggested to Mr. Bramen that they work together to analyze this but had not received a response back from Mr. Bramen.

7. APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR

Supervisor Rose asked how the Profile of Older Adults document differed from the county document.

Mr. Bresolin responded that he used the portion of the county document on Health and Seniors that relates only to demographic issues and that the document before the board contained a wider range of demographic information on seniors but was also limited to that topic.

Supervisor Centeno moved to approve the consent calendar. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Baca and carried unanimously.

8. HIGHWAY CALLBOX UPGRADE PROJECT

Fred Luna presented the staff report.

Councilmember Mariscal asked if the A.J. Diani Construction firm listed as one of the construction bidders in Santa Paula was the same as the one in Santa Maria.

Mr. Luna said it was the same company but different office.

Councilmember Mariscal asked if there was ever any extra consideration given to bidding firms located in Santa Barbara County.

Mr. Ready responded that this type of contract was a low bid contract and that local preferences are not permitted in this process.

Supervisor Carbajal said that it might be different for the county versus the federal or state government and said the county does give consideration to local vendors.

Mr. Ready said the local vendor outreach program that the county has is primarily a procurement contract that allows for more leeway. He explained that what was before the board was a public contract and that the state would prevent SBCAG from accepting a bidder from the county if they weren't the low bidder.

Supervisor Carbajal suggested that legal counsel check with general services to see about the legality of what can and can't be done.

Supervisor Centeno moved to:

- A. Authorize the award of the contract to implement and construct the Call Box Upgrade Project to Comarco Wireless Technologies who was the lowest responsible bidder in the amount of \$1,230,427.44; and
- B. Authorize the Chair to execute a contract with Comarco Wireless Technologies, subject to the provision of required documents and certifications set forth in the contract documents and as required by law, in the amount of \$1,230,427.44; and
- C. Authorize the Executive Director to approve contract change orders as necessary up to \$105,000; and
- D. Authorize the Chair to approve Amendment No. 1 to the contract with MNS Engineers to extend the termination date to June 30, 2006 and to increase the contract budget for inspection services by \$2,000.

The motion was seconded by Councilmember Wallis and carried unanimously.

9. FREEWAY SERVICE PATROL

John Asuncion presented the staff report and introduced Captain Jeff Sgobba with the California Highway Patrol.

Captain Sgobba with the California Highway Patrol said that they were in support of the Freeway Service Patrol program. He said that there was the same number of California Highway Patrol officers today as there was in 1986, and that the Freeway Service Patrol had shown to be a benefit in other communities where this program existed. He said breakdowns that occur are considered a non-priority call, and that 80 percent of the calls the Freeway Service Patrol receives do not require a second tow truck but can be fixed right on the spot. He said that the Freeway Service Patrol would be considered one-half a highway patrol unit as they reduce demands on CHP officer. He reported that a five vehicle accident had occurred earlier in the day due to a tire coming off of a vehicle and that it was these types of accidents that the Freeway Service Patrol could help. Captain Sgobba said that a study showed that there was a sixty percent reduction in capacity with the loss of one lane due to an accident, a ninety percent reduction in capacity with the loss of two lanes and a fifteen percent reduction with the loss of the shoulder and if something could be done to minimize traffic congestion it would be helpful.

Captain Sgobba said each customer completes a survey and rates the service, and that ninety percent of the people who completed the survey in other areas rated the service as excellent.

Julie Heifner, representing Assemblyman Pedro Nava, said that Mr. Nava also supported the Freeway Service Patrol program.

Mayor DeWees asked if this three year program would be evaluated annually.

Mr. Asuncion responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Mariscal asked several questions regarding the RFP. He asked why there was a subcontractor section and whether it was necessary to keep the prevailing wages section.

Mr. Asuncion said that the portion relative to a subcontractor could be removed and that prevailing wages would need to be dealt with through the contractor.

Mr. Ready explained that the prevailing wage section was just a protection for SBCAG.

10. TRAFFIC SOLUTIONS FY 04-05 ANNUAL REPORT

Kent Epperson presented the staff report.

Supervisor Gray asked if Coastal Express tickets could be purchased from its drivers.

Mr. Epperson responded that passengers could pay the bus drivers either by cash or by an e-purse that enables the rider to deposit money into a smartcard that is deducted from each time they ride.

Councilmember Jordan asked why the vanpool and carpool programs were more successful in the North County.

Mr. Epperson explained that North County commuters have a longer trip length than commuters from Ventura, making carpooling and vanpooling more financially appealing to them. He also pointed out that south county commuters from Ventura have the option of the VISTA all-day transit service that runs more frequently than the Clean Air Express or Valley Express, making transit more appealing and affordable than vanpooling.

Councilmember Jordan asked Mr. Epperson if he had success with accessing companies in Carpinteria to promote alternative types of transportation.

Mr. Epperson responded that Traffic Solutions had more demand and interest from employers than they were able to meet due to the limited staff resources since they started managing the Clean Air Express. He mentioned that if more staff resources were available, Traffic Solutions could be more proactive in assisting additional employers.

Councilmember Jordan commented on the success of the Team Bike Challenge and said the competition was what made it successful, not the prizes. She suggested applying some of those ideas to carpooling.

Councilmember Wallis said that she did not think that the large businesses in Goleta were aware of the services available from Traffic Solutions and that the businesses felt these types of

programs were too difficult to implement on their own. She said that due to the businesses being in such close proximity it might be possible for them to work together.

Supervisor Carbajal asked Mr. Epperson to comment on the new effort to engage employers in promoting the use of commute alternatives through the Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Epperson reported that approximately three months ago the Santa Barbara Chamber of Commerce approached Traffic Solutions and Councilmember Carbajal and informed them that there was a need for employers to get involved in solving the traffic problem. He stated that just shifting work schedules could do a lot to improve traffic congestion. The Chamber is hoping to prompt public agencies to practice what they preach by offering transportation benefits to their employees. The Chamber of Commerce will work in an effort to reach the private sector.

Supervisor Carbajal said the approach he would like to see taken would be for the public agencies to get their ducks in a row in order for employers to understand what options are available and what other employers are doing. Supervisor Carbajal added a good faith effort made by the public sector would encourage the private sector to also engage in this issue. Supervisor Carbajal said he had worked with Supervisor Rose to make some progress with this program at the County of Santa Barbara.

Councilmember Mariscal asked what happened to the vanpool that was previously available for Caltrans workers.

Rich Krumholz, Caltrans representative, said that the state subsidy was no longer available for the Caltrans employees but the people that were using this program continued with their own vanpool and may have found other subsidies.

Councilmember Mariscal suggested working with Caltrans employees and SLOCOG to consider a service.

11. THE BREEZE INTERCOMMUNITY TRANSIT SERVICE

Michael Seden-Hansen with the city of Santa Maria presented the update on the new Breeze service that began on May 9th.

Mayor DeWees requested that the next Breeze update include where rides originate and the destination.

12. TEA AUTHORIZATION

This item was deferred.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Mayor Hicks was absent so the report on the Western Council of Governments Conference held August 10th was continued until the meeting in September.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Kemp reported that the 101 in Motion was moving forward rapidly and that a very productive meeting was held the prior Monday with the TAG and SAC. He said they were working on developing consensus recommendations that will be presented to the Steering Committee and then to the full SBCAG Board. Mr. Kemp said that in mid September a public workshop will be held to present an analysis with a more comprehensive report to be presented at that following meeting. Mr. Kemp said

that following that will be a more detailed implementation of funding and coordination of implementing the final solution packages.

Mr. Kemp said that the two vacant Transportation Planner positions had been filled.

Mr. Kemp reminded the Board of the joint meeting with SBCAG and SLOCOG on September 29th at the Santa Maria Radisson Hotel that will start at noon and end at 3:00 p.m. He said he was in the process of scheduling a meeting with the South Coast Subregional Planning Committee and Ventura County Transportation Commission but that the dates that they were considering did not work out. He said the meeting will be held in October to open up dialogue on the 101 in Motion project and to begin dialogue on commuter rail.

Councilmember Secord suggested that the meeting with VCTC be coordinated with Supervisor Carbajal's schedule.

CALTRANS DISTRICT DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Rich Krumholz presented the Caltrans report. He commented that he appreciated the public's patience with the Castillo Street project that was nearly completed. He said the onramps would remain open during the rest of the construction time.

Mr. Krumholz said that the Gaviota rest stops would be closed on August 18th and 19th.

Supervisor Centeno asked that the freeway pavement near the northbound bridges be looked at south of Buellton because they were in bad condition.

CLOSED SESSION

The Board went into closed session at 11:00 a.m. to discuss the Pierce, Estrada and Our Children's Earth Foundation lawsuit.

RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION

When the Board reconvened to open session at 11:10 a.m. County Counsel Kevin Ready said no reportable action was taken.

BOARD WORKSHOP

Supervisor Firestone arrived at 11:15 a.m.

Mr. Kemp said that the workshop was being videotaped and that there would be a record available for anyone who would like it.

Mr. Kemp brought the Board up to date with the Measure D renewal effort. He said that the Board had authorized placing a renewal measure on the ballot in 2006 with the current measure due to expire in 2010. Prior to placing a new measure on the ballot, SBCAG needs to develop a new expenditure plan for adoption by the board. Mr. Kemp said that the board had previously heard from a number of elected officials and political consultants from around the state who had experience with sales tax measures about some of the challenges involved with successfully navigating a 2/3 vote requirement. Mr. Kemp said that each county that succeeded was able to do so in part by developing a good expenditure plan that reflected what people in different communities wanted it to include. Mr. Kemp said that the workshop would include findings on the recent public opinion poll. In May the board authorized the

hiring of Tramutola Consulting to develop the expenditure plan. He said the Tramutola team of consultants included Larry Tramutola and David Basmajian, Tim McClarney from True North Research and Brian Robinson and Jim Youngson from Terrain Consulting. He noted that the meeting would be in workshop format and facilitated by Jim Youngson.

Councilmember Secord said he was concerned about the legal issues involved in doing this type of work.

Mr. Kemp said that this has been a source of concern expressed by the board repeatedly. He said the scope of services for the consulting team was not related to the campaign for renewal of Measure D and that it was not SBCAG's role to pass the measure or advocate for the measure once it was on the ballot. He said the scope of the contract for Tramutola was the development of the expenditure plan.

Mr. Ready explained that his ultimate priority was to make sure that the dividing line is strictly followed. He said he put a specific clause in the Tramutola contract that says they can't use the funds for anything other than for legislative development. He said he was giving direction to staff to make sure that they aren't doing anything to tell the electorate what they should do to vote and said he counseled staff to remain on the conservative side.

Supervisor Centeno said that he thought it was important that the public needs to understand that the funds are not being used to pass the measure and that he had already received inquiries from citizens.

Mr. Ready said that this was important and that the private group would be counseled to inform the public that they are a private group and that public funds will not be used to promote the measure.

Supervisor Rose said that she was not sure how she should answer questions posed to her by the public without advocating for the measure.

Mr. Ready said that elected officials have far more leeway than staff because elected officials can say what they want but can't use their office or office staff time.

Supervisor Carbajal said that protocol was an issue and requested some sort of summary to articulate what is being done legislatively that is allowed under the law and what is done in other counties so that the public knows that SBCAG is cognizant of the facts.

Mr. Kemp responded that the board authorized SBCAG to contract with Tramutola to develop an expenditure plan and ballot measure wording. Tramutola was chosen as the consultant to perform this work through an RFP process. He said that the workshop was structured for the board to meet the consulting team and to be comfortable with how the plan will be developed and to report the results of the first poll in order to make a decision on moving forward with the renewal effort at this point in time.

Mr. Youngson with Terrain Consulting said his job has been to facilitate a series of meetings with the staff of all of the local jurisdictions. He said there were four goals:

- Meet the consultants and get a clear understanding of what they do and to gain the confidence of the board.
- Review the poll results and ask questions. He said he wants the board to understand the data and feel as comfortable as possible.

- Outline the basic elements of the plan moving forward as to how government takes a look at the different components that make up a ballot measure.
- Get feedback on what role the elected officials would like to play in moving forward with this process.

Mr. Tramutola explained that there are two distinct phases to every tax measure effort. There is the legislative phase where lots of discussions and decisions have to be made to create an expenditure plan. Then there is a campaign phase where it is not appropriate for public funds to be used. He said his job was to help work with all the various interests in the County to develop an expenditure plan that would help meet the transportation needs of our local communities and the region as a whole. Mr. Tramutola said there were a lot of government agencies receiving funds from Measure D and that weaving the views of all the different agencies into a consensus plan was difficult. He said that the poll results reveal the public's interest in a variety of new transportation investments. To accommodate these new transportation priorities the funding formulas in the current measure may need to be changed. He explained that three things needed to be in place to pass a tax measure:

- Develop an expenditure plan that has a list of projects.
- Know who will be voting.
- Have adequate funding and people resources available.

Mr. Tramutola said that he had done extensive post election research on the reasons people support and don't support measures and that public contact is more important than television or radio advertising and mailings. He said that the jurisdictions that are receiving 70 percent of the current Measure D split were reluctant to discuss changing the split, and that he will need to make them uncomfortable enough to consider changing the current split if necessary. He said that his job was to make people think outside the box and think more regionally in terms of the county itself and a balance to include various projects that will have something that will appeal to everyone. He said the poll would give a sense of what projects should be included in the plan.

Tim McClarney reported the poll results to the Board. He said that he had just received the results and that additional analysis was necessary. He explained that the purpose of the survey was to see if it was feasible to place the measure on the ballot and to measure the current support of Measure D.

Councilmember Secord asked where the list of people called was obtained.

Mr. McClarney said the list came from county elections of registered voters and then looked at whether those folks had voted in the last election. He said that they started with an open ended question and had the person rank the subjects in order of importance. They also asked if they had ever heard of Measure D in order to measure the level of awareness in the county.

Councilmember Wallis said she was looking for the degree of sensitivity within the questions. She also asked if the groups were broken down into subgroups and whether or not they were broken down into sub regions.

Mr. McClarney said the poll is designed to be accurate for each of the four sub regions of the county not within smaller areas as the margin of error begins to grow.

Councilmember Wallis said if it was broken down by zip code that Isla Vista would be included with Goleta and that this would not help the city of Goleta.

Mr. McClarney said precinct information is available.

Mayor DeWees said he thought finding out why a person may not want to vote for the measure would be useful information.

Mr. McClarney said that question was not asked but that they can usually see what resonates within the respondents.

Mayor Alvarez asked if this poll included a margin of error.

Mr. McClarney responded that it did.

Supervisor Carbajal asked if the people polled were asked what party they belonged to.

Mr. McClarney said that it was not necessary because the registration forms revealed that information. He said that the republican support was slightly lower but support was high across the board without a large variation between the two parties.

Councilmember Mariscal asked generally what level of support was necessary on a first poll to move forward with a renewal effort.

Mr. Tramutola said there was no problem with the public voting for this measure, but that there was an internal problem that needs to be resolved regarding how to allocate measure revenues.

Supervisor Firestone said opposition could develop if there was a detailed expenditure plan, but if the plan was more general there may not be as much opposition.

Mr. Tramutola said that it is necessary to be clear with voters and that at the end of the day people will want to know where their money will go.

Supervisor Gray said that school bond measure expenditure plans have evolved to be very specific lists of projects. Her experience has been if you don't have a clear plan the public votes no and if you do have a clear plan they vote yes.

Councilmember Mariscal said the original Measure D expenditure plan was somewhat specific and passed and asked if the new expenditure plan actually could be specific but somewhat general.

Mr. Youngson asked how specific and how much discretion there was.

Mr. Tramutola said there can be a problem with overstating or understating expenditure plan goals and that Measure D overstated its ability to deliver projects twenty years ago.

Mayor DeWees asked about the Lompoc Hospital measure.

Mr. Tramutola said that there is broad support for keeping the hospital but the tax rate might affect support.

Mr. Tramutola said predicting and setting in stone projects for thirty years was difficult and that guiding principles had been developed to assist in evaluating projects for inclusion in the new expenditure plan.

Mr. McClarney said Santa Barbara County voters want a Measure D expenditure plan that reflects their preferences and that is balanced so it will appeal to different people with different priorities.

Barry Siegel asked if they needed to get more specific than the four different transportation needs described in the poll. He said that the issue of growth was not asked and could become a bigger concern in the future.

Mr. McLarney said that traffic congestion was related to growth but when asked to describe important issues affecting the County only four percent of survey respondents mentioned growth as an issue of concern. He said the public, in general, accepts the notion that there will be more growth.

Mr. McLarney said that they questioned whether people were in favor of a county split and seventy-five percent of those who had an opinion were opposed.

Councilmember Secord asked if the poll showed that the public was tired of all of the inertia and discussion regarding traffic congestion.

Mr. McLarney said that they asked what the most pressing problem was that they would like to see solved. He said most of the people answered traffic and transportation.

Mr. Ready asked how the 70/30 percent split compares with other areas statewide.

Mr. McLarney said that most of the measures were typically 1/3 local streets and roads, 1/3 transit and 1/3 highways and freeways.

Supervisor Firestone asked if the consulting team recommended doing a press release on the poll results.

Mr. McLarney said that a more detailed report on the survey results would be provided to the board within two to three weeks. The information available today was only top line results.

Jim Youngson said that the board or staff could call Mr. McLarney with questions and if the board feels there should be additional discussions that could be arranged because he wanted the board to feel comfortable with the data.

Supervisor Carbajal asked when this data could be released and asked what the consultant's advice was.

Mr. Tramutola responded the advisability of releasing polling information is a delicate issue. This poll was taken to assist the board in ascertaining whether there was public support for a renewal effort and to provide information regarding the public's views on expenditure plan issues. He said his focus was on the internal issues regarding the expenditure plan. He advised the board to be cautious about a press release because it might politicize the poll results. He said it would be more effective to hold personal briefings for reporters and editorial boards to fully brief them on the poll results.

Supervisor Rose asked if the poll broke down the choices for alternative transportation.

Councilmember Wallis asked to see the raw data and said she thought there were many levels of interest in the data collected.

Mr. McLarney said that the raw data would be available after the final report was issued.

Mr. Ready said that the issue is whether the poll results should be publicized or not, but that the poll results must be released if requested. He said the question was in what form should this information be provided to the public and whether it should be published proactively.

Councilmember Baca said that if members of the public made a request for this information then it would be provided to them. He said in the case of alternative transportation it could be a subset of congestion relief.

Jim Youngson said that this was not a NEPA or EIR process and that this was a small political legislative process where a package is going to go out for a vote. He said that from now to November this year we would need to work on how the information we have from the general public can be woven into one package plan. The process is based upon these guiding principles. He said that they would be taking the public input vote from this poll through some specific processes to key individuals who have been heavily involved in the process throughout the years. He said the biggest and most ambitious process is Hwy 101 for the south coast. He said they will try to come to a consensus approach to what the south coast would like to see for increased mobility. They need to capitalize on that information and weave it into the ballot language. He said Thanksgiving should be the deadline for this process.

Gregg Hart said that the 101 in Motion effort was tracking very well with the public sentiment expressed through the poll results. He said there was consensus emerging from the 101 in Motion advisory groups endorsing adding an HOV lane south of Milpas to the Ventura County line combined with a commuter train package. He said the advisory groups were continuing to discuss what to do with the section of 101 from Milpas through Goleta and that less than 50 percent of survey respondents supported adding an additional lane to the current six-lane section of the freeway.

Mayor Alvarez asked whether the public approved of giving more money to cities vs. the county.

Mr. McLarney said the voters didn't know very much detail about the existing Measure D expenditure plan funding formulas.

Mr. Basmajian said there were positive and negative arguments about this.

Mr. McLarney said there was an understanding that some of the funds would be spent on individual jurisdictions.

Councilmember Secord asked when another poll would be taken.

Mr. McLarney said that once they have a pretty clear sense of the plan that they would bring it to the community.

Mr. Tramutola said that you need to be smart about resources to educate the public and that their primary job over the next months was to develop a good measure.

Councilmember Wallis asked to what extent they wanted the Board involved and explained that in her point of view she would like to be very close to the process.

Supervisor Gray said she would like a timetable and that the plan has to be a high priority to put it in the right timeframe. She asked how much time was involved in coming up with a plan.

Mr. Tramutola said that this was a difficult process because there are many different interests involved. He stated that SBCAG staff would lay out the program where the dollars should go and then it would be brought back to the board.

Supervisor Gray said that in September a draft plan should be presented to the Board.

Supervisor Rose asked if there would be an afternoon session in September.

Mr. Kemp said that he would need to get the final poll results and then would work with the local staff on the expenditure plan committee to flesh out what might be included in the plan.

Councilmember Jordan said that a policy decision should be made relative to the funding split.

Mr. Tramutola said this was discussed with the public works directors but that this plan would need to be looked at with fresh eyes. Unless there is support from the voters the funding split is irrelevant because seventy percent of zero equals zero. He said the public works directors are becoming active in discussing the percentage would be split. He said that he thought a tax renewal was easier than a new tax but a tax renewal that has been set in stone is very difficult to change.

Larry Bean said the beauty of the old ordinance was that you could spend funds where you want with each jurisdiction.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:03 p.m.